

Essex Regional Retirement System

Actuarial Valuation and Review as of January 1, 2018

This report has been prepared at the request of the Retirement Board to assist in administering the Essex Regional Retirement System. This valuation report may not otherwise be copied or reproduced in any form without the consent of the Retirement Board and may only be provided to other parties in its entirety. The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes.

Copyright © 2018 by The Segal Group, Inc. All rights reserved.





116 Huntington Ave., 8th Floor Boston, MA 02116-5744 T 617.424.7300 www.segalco.com

August 13, 2018

Retirement Board Essex Regional Retirement System 491 Maple Street, Suite 202 Danvers, MA 01923

Dear Board Members:

We are pleased to submit this Actuarial Valuation and Review as of January 1, 2018. It summarizes the actuarial data used in the valuation, analyzes the preceding two years' experience, and establishes the funding requirements for fiscal 2019 and later years.

This report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices at the request of the Board to assist in administering the Retirement System. The census information and financial information on which our calculations were based was prepared by the staff of the Essex Regional Retirement System. That assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

The actuarial calculations were directed under my supervision. I am a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and I meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion herein. To the best of my knowledge, the information supplied in this actuarial valuation is complete and accurate. Further, in my opinion, the assumptions as approved by the Board are reasonably related to the experience of and the expectations for the Essex Regional Retirement System.

We look forward to reviewing this report at your next meeting and to answering any questions.

Sincerely,

Segal Consulting, a Member of The Segal Group, Inc.

By:

Kathleen A. Riley, FSA, MAAA, EA

Senior Vice President and Consultant

8757174v2/01203.032

Benefits, Compensation and HR Consulting. Member of The Segal Group. Offices throughout the United States and Canada

Table of Contents

Essex Regional Retirement System Actuarial Valuation and Review as of January 1, 2018

Section 1: Actuarial Valuation Summary	
Purpose and Basis	5
Significant Issues	6
Summary of Key Valuation Results	8
Important Information About Actuarial Valuations	9
Section 2: Actuarial Valuation Results	
Participant Data	11
Financial Information	14
Actuarial Experience	17
Changes in the Actuarial Accrued Liability	22
Development of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	23
Actuarially Determined Contribution	24
Funding Schedule	25
Risk	26

Section 3	Supp	lemental	Information
-----------	------	----------	-------------

	Exhibit A – Table of Plan Coverage	29
	Exhibit B – Participants in Active Service as of December 31, 2017	30
	Exhibit C – Summary Statement of Income and Expenses on a Market Value Basis	31
	Exhibit D – Development of the Fund Through December 31, 2017	32
	Exhibit E – Definitions of Pension Terms	33
S	ection 4: Actuarial Valuation Basis	
	Exhibit I – Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method	37
	Exhibit II – Summary of Plan Provisions	43



Section 1: Actuarial Valuation Summary

Purpose and Basis

This report was prepared by Segal Consulting to present a valuation of the Essex Regional Retirement System as of January 1, 2018. The valuation was performed to determine whether the assets and contributions are sufficient to provide the prescribed benefits. The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes. In particular, the measures herein are not necessarily appropriate for assessing the sufficiency of System assets to cover the estimated cost of settling the System's benefit obligations. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements; and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

Certain disclosure information required by GASB Statements No. 67 and 68 as of January 1, 2018 for the Essex Regional Retirement System is provided in a separate report.

The information presented in this report is based on:

- > The benefit provisions of Massachusetts General Law Chapter 32;
- > The characteristics of covered active participants, inactive participants, and retired participants and beneficiaries as of December 31, 2017, provided by the staff of the Retirement System;
- > The assets of the System as of December 31, 2017, provided by the staff of the Retirement System;
- > Economic assumptions regarding future salary increases and investment earnings; and
- > Other actuarial assumptions regarding employee terminations, retirement, death, etc.

Significant Issues

- 1. Segal Consulting ("Segal") strongly recommends an actuarial funding method that targets 100% funding of the actuarial accrued liability. Generally, this implies payments that are ultimately at least enough to cover normal cost, interest on the unfunded actuarial accrued liability and the principal balance. The funding policy adopted by the Essex Regional Retirement System meets this standard and funds the unfunded actuarial accrued liability of the Plan by June 30, 2035.
- 2. The funded ratio (the ratio of the actuarial value of assets to actuarial accrued liability) is 53.37%, compared to the prior valuation funded ratio of 51.87%. This ratio is one measure of funding status, and its history is a measure of funding progress. Using the market value of assets, the funded ratio is 55.40%, compared to 50.29% as of the prior valuation date. These measurements are not necessarily appropriate for assessing the sufficiency of assets to cover the estimated cost of settling the Essex Regional Retirement System's benefit obligation or the need for or the amount of future contributions.
- 3. During the plan years ended December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2017, the market value rate of return was 7.06% and 16.55%, respectively. Because the actuarial value of assets gradually recognizes market value fluctuations, the actuarial rate of return for the plan years ended December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2017 was 6.98% and 8.93%, respectively. The actuarial value of assets as of December 31, 2017 was \$450.3 million, or 96.3% of the market value of assets of \$467.5 million (as shown on the Annual Statement). As of December 31, 2015, the actuarial value of assets was 103.1% of market value.
- 4. As indicated in Section 2 of this report, the total unrecognized investment gain as of December 31, 2017 is \$17.1 million. This investment gain will be recognized in the determination of the actuarial value of assets for funding purposes in the next few years, to the extent it is not offset by recognition of investment losses derived from future experience. This implies that earning the assumed rate of investment return on a market value basis will result in investment gains on the actuarial value of assets in the next few years. The funding schedule shown in Section 2 reflects the deferred investment gains in accordance with the asset valuation method used in this valuation.
- 5. This actuarial report as of January 1, 2018 is based on financial and demographic data as of that date. Changes subsequent to that date are not reflected and will affect future actuarial costs of the plan.

- 6. The following actuarial assumptions were changed with this valuation:
 - > The investment return assumption was lowered from 7.75% to 7.50%.
 - > The retirement rates for employees hired after April 1, 2012 were revised to match the rates for employees hired before April 1, 2012. In addition, there were minor changes to the rates prior to age 54 for Group 4 employees.
 - > The assumed interest on employee contributions was increased from 2.0% to 3.5%.
 - > The administrative expense assumption was lowered from \$1,127,500 to \$1,000,000.
 - The percentage of employees assumed to be married was lowered from 100% to 80%.
 - > The percentage of accidental disability retirees who are expected to die from the same cause as the disability was lowered from 40% to 20% for Groups 1 and 2 employees and increased from 40% to 60% for Group 4 employees.
 - > The allowance for net 3(8)(c) payments was increased from \$1,081,739 to \$1,254,783 per year.

Changing these assumptions increased the unfunded liability by approximately \$23.1 million and increased the normal cost by approximately \$500,000.

- 7. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability has increased from \$358.8 million as of January 1, 2016 to \$393.5 million as of January 1, 2018. The unfunded liability was expected to increase \$0.6 million. The actual increase in the unfunded liability of \$34.7 million is primarily due to the assumption changes mentioned above and a loss due to demographic experience, partially offset by an investment gain on an actuarial basis.
- 8. The funding schedule included in this report fully funds the System by June 30, 2035. For fiscal 2019, the actuarially determined contribution has been set equal to the previously budgeted amount of \$33,963,270, as determined by the prior actuary. For fiscal 2020 through 2022, the appropriation increases 7.41%. The appropriation increases 6.36% for fiscal 2023, 4.98% for fiscal 2024 and approximately 3.75% per year thereafter. This schedule assumes all assumptions will be met and there will be no changes in the plan of benefits or actuarial assumptions.
 - The funding schedule included in the prior valuation report also fully funded the Retirement System by June 30, 2035.
- 9. Since the actuarial valuation results are dependent on a given set of assumptions, there is a risk that emerging results may differ significantly as actual experience proves to be different from the assumptions. We have included a discussion of various risks that may affect the plan in Section 2.

Summary of Key Valuation Results

		2018	2016
Contributions for fiscal	Actuarially Determined Contributions for fiscal year 2019 and 2017	\$33,963,270	\$29,412,650
year beginning July 1:	Actuarially Determined Contributions for fiscal year 2020 and 2018	36,479,948	31,610,090
	Actuarially Determined Contributions for fiscal year 2021 and 2019	39,183,112	33,963,270
Actuarial accrued	Retired participants and beneficiaries	\$457,774,106	\$396,051,329
liability for plan year	Inactive vested participants	12,135,092	
beginning January 1:	Inactive participants due a refund of employee contributions	5,617,551	10,170,214
	Active participants	<u>368,302,878</u>	339,362,115
	Total	\$843,829,627	\$745,583,658
	 Normal cost including administrative expenses and allowance for net 3(8)(c)payments (2018 only) for plan year beginning January 1 	21,550,258	17,762,397
Assets for plan year	Market value of assets (MVA)	\$467,474,289	\$374,936,877
beginning January 1:	Actuarial value of assets (AVA)	450,330,846	386,739,098
	Actuarial value of assets as a percentage of market value of assets	96.33%	103.15%
Funded status for plan	Unfunded actuarial accrued liability on market value of assets	\$376,355,338	\$370,646,781
year beginning	Funded percentage on MVA basis	55.40%	50.29%
January 1:	Unfunded actuarial accrued liability on actuarial value of assets	\$393,498,781	\$358,844,560
	Funded percentage on AVA basis	53.37%	51.87%
Key assumptions:	Net investment return	7.50%	7.75%
	Inflation rate	2.75%	4.00%
Demographic data for	Number of retired participants and beneficiaries	1,841	1,768
plan year beginning	Number of inactive vested participants	107	
January 1:	Number of inactive participants due a refund of employee contributions	1,025	1,030
	Number of active participants	2,649	2,738
	Total payroll	\$130,781,725	\$126,928,690
	Average payroll	49,370	46,358

Notes: 2016 results are from the January 1, 2016 Actuarial Valuation Report, dated February 3, 2017, completed by Stone Consulting. Inactive vested participants for 2016 are included with inactive participants due a refund of employee contributions.



Important Information About Actuarial Valuations

An actuarial valuation is a budgeting tool with respect to the financing of future projected obligations of a pension plan. It is an estimated forecast – the actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.

In order to prepare a valuation, Segal Consulting ("Segal") relies on a number of input items. These include:

Plan of benefits	Plan provisions define the rules that will be used to determine benefit payments, and those rules, or the interpretation of them, may change over time. Even where they appear precise, outside factors may change how they operate. It is important to keep Segal informed with respect to plan provisions and administrative procedures, and to review the plan summary included in our report to confirm that Segal has correctly interpreted the plan of benefits.
Participant data	An actuarial valuation for a plan is based on data provided to the actuary by the Essex Regional Retirement System. Segal does not audit such data for completeness or accuracy, other than reviewing it for obvious inconsistencies compared to prior data and other information that appears unreasonable. It is important for Segal to receive the best possible data and to be informed about any known incomplete or inaccurate data.
Assets	The valuation is based on the market value of assets as of the valuation date, as provided by the Essex Regional Retirement System uses an "actuarial value of assets" that differs from market value to gradually reflect year-to-year changes in the market value of assets in determining the contribution requirements.
Actuarial assumptions	In preparing an actuarial valuation, Segal projects the benefits to be paid to existing plan participants for the rest of their lives and the lives of their beneficiaries. This projection requires actuarial assumptions as to the probability of death, disability, withdrawal, and retirement of each participant for each year. In addition, the benefits projected to be paid for each of those events in each future year reflect actuarial assumptions as to salary increases and cost-of-living adjustments. The projected benefits are then discounted to a present value, based on the assumed rate of return that is expected to be achieved on the plan's assets. There is a reasonable range for each assumption used in the projection and the results may vary materially based on which assumptions are selected. It is important for any user of an actuarial valuation to understand this concept. Actuarial assumptions are periodically reviewed to ensure that future valuations reflect emerging plan experience. While future changes in actuarial assumptions may have a significant impact on the reported results, that does not mean that the previous assumptions were unreasonable.

The user of Segal's actuarial valuation (or other actuarial calculations) should keep the following in mind:

- The actuarial valuation is prepared at the request of the Essex Regional Retirement System. Segal is not responsible for the use or misuse of its report, particularly by any other party.
- An actuarial valuation is a measurement of the plan's assets and liabilities at a specific date. Accordingly, except where otherwise noted, Segal did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future financial measures. The actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.
- Actuarial results in this report are not rounded, but that does not imply precision.
- If the Essex Regional Retirement System is aware of any event or trend that was not considered in this valuation that may materially change the results of the valuation, Segal should be advised, so that we can evaluate it.
- Segal does not provide investment, legal, accounting, or tax advice. Segal's valuation is based on our understanding of applicable guidance in these areas and of the plan's provisions, but they may be subject to alternative interpretations. The Essex Regional Retirement System should look to their other advisors for expertise in these areas.

As Segal Consulting has no discretionary authority with respect to the management or assets of the System, it is not a fiduciary in its capacity as actuaries and consultants with respect to the System.

Section 2: Actuarial Valuation Results

Participant Data

The Actuarial Valuation and Review considers the number and demographic characteristics of covered participants, including active participants, inactive participants, retired participants and beneficiaries.

This section presents a summary of significant statistical data on these participant groups.

More detailed information for this valuation year and the preceding valuation can be found in Section 3, Exhibits A and B.

PARTICIPANT POPULATION: 1999 - 2017


Year Ended December 31	Active Participants	Inactive Participants	Retired Participants and Beneficiaries	Total Non- Actives	Ratio of Non- Actives to Actives
1999	2,835	626	1,429	2,005	0.72
2001	3,023	940	1,424	2,364	0.78
2003	3,035	1,003	1,498	2,501	0.82
2005	2,949	887	1,508	2,395	0.81
2007	3,139	945	1,568	2,513	0.80
2010	3,013	914	1,624	2,538	0.84
2012	2,816	1,009	1,670	2,679	0.95
2013	2,714	1,118	1,725	2,843	1.05
2015	2,738	1,030	1,768	2,798	1.02
2017	2,649	1,132	1,841	2,973	1.12

Note: Participant counts from 2012 – 2015 are from the prior actuary's reports.

Active Participants

Plan costs are affected by the age, years of service and payroll of active participants. In this year's valuation, there were 2,649 active participants with an average age of 49.2, average years of service of 11.4 years and average payroll of \$49,370. The 2,738 active participants in the prior valuation had an average age of 49.0, average service of 11.0 years and average payroll of \$46,358.

Among the active participants, there were none with unknown age or service information.



Inactive Participants

In this year's valuation, there were 107 participants with a vested right to a deferred or immediate vested benefit and 1,025 participants entitled to a return of their employee contributions.


Retired Participants and Beneficiaries


As of December 31, 2017, 1,626 retired participants and 215 beneficiaries were receiving total monthly benefits of \$3,686,112, excluding COLAs reimbursed by the Commonwealth. For comparison, in the previous valuation, there were 1,768 retired participants and beneficiaries receiving monthly benefits of \$3,267,901, excluding COLAs reimbursed by the Commonwealth.

Distribution of Retired Participants and Beneficiaries as of December 31, 2017

BY TYPE AND MONTHLY AMOUNT

BY TYPE AND AGE






Financial Information

Retirement plan funding anticipates that, over the long term, both contributions (less administrative expenses) and investment earnings (less investment fees) will be needed to cover benefit payments. Retirement plan assets change as a result of the net impact of these income and expense components.

Additional financial information, including a summary of transactions for the valuation year, is presented in Section 3, Exhibits C and D.

COMPARISON OF CONTRIBUTIONS WITH BENEFITS AND EXPENSES FOR YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 – 2017



It is desirable to have level and predictable plan costs from one year to the next. For this reason, the Board has approved an asset valuation method that gradually adjusts to market value. Under this valuation method, the full value of market fluctuations is not recognized in a single year and, as a result, the asset value and the plan costs are more stable. The amount of the adjustment to recognize market value is treated as income, which may be positive or negative. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are treated equally and, therefore, the sale of assets has no immediate effect on the actuarial value.

DETERMINATION OF ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS


		Year Ended			
			December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016	
1. Market value of assets at the end of the year			\$467,474,289	\$400,147,061	
2. Calculation of unrecognized return	Original Amount ¹	Percent Deferred	Unrecognized Return²	Unrecognized Return ²	
(a) Year ended December 31, 2017	\$35,274,759	80%	\$28,219,807	N/A	
(b) Year ended December 31, 2016	-2,584,998	60	-1,551,000	-\$2,067,998	
(c) Year ended December 31, 2015	-24,998,788	40	-9,999,516	-14,999,274	
(d) Year ended December 31, 2014	2,370,758	20	474,152	948,304	
(e) Year ended December 31, 2013	19,002,120	0	<u>0</u>	3,800,424	
(f) Total unrecognized return			17,143,443	-12,318,544	
3. Preliminary actuarial value: (1) - (2f)			\$450,330,846	\$412,465,605	
4. Adjustment to be within 10% corridor			<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	
5. Final actuarial value of assets as of the end of the year: (3) + (4)			\$450,330,846	\$412,465,605	
6. Actuarial value as a percentage of market value: (5) ÷ (1)			96.33%	103.08%	
7. Amount deferred for future recognition: (1) - (5)			\$17,143,443	-\$12,318,544	

Total minus expected return on a market value basis.

Recognition at 20% per year over five years.

Both the actuarial value and market value of assets are representations of the Plan's financial status. As investment gains and losses are gradually taken into account, the actuarial value of assets tracks the market value of assets. The actuarial asset value is significant because the Essex Regional Retirement System's liabilities are compared to these assets to determine what portion, if any, remains unfunded. Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is an important element in determining the contribution requirement.

ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS VS. MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2015 - 2017



Actuarial Experience

To calculate any actuarially determined contribution, assumptions are made about future events that affect the amount and timing of benefits to be paid and assets to be accumulated. Each year actual experience is measured against the assumptions. If overall experience is more favorable than anticipated (an actuarial gain), any contribution requirement will decrease from the previous year. On the other hand, any contribution requirement will increase if overall actuarial experience is less favorable than expected (an actuarial loss).

Taking account of experience gains or losses in one year without making a change in assumptions reflects the belief that the single year's experience was a short-term development and that, over the long term, experience will return to the original assumptions. For contribution requirements to remain stable, assumptions should approximate experience.

If assumptions are changed, the contribution requirement is adjusted to take into account a change in experience anticipated for all future years.

The net experience loss over the two-year period is \$11,009,312, which includes \$1,874,738 from investment gains and \$12,884,050 in losses from all other sources. The net experience variation from individual sources other than investments was 1.6% of the actuarial accrued liability. A discussion of the major components of the actuarial experience is on the following pages.

ACTUARIAL EXPERIENCE FOR TWO-YEAR PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

1	Net gain from investments	\$1,874,738
2	Net gain from administrative expenses	436,169
3	Net loss from other experience	<u>-13,320,219</u>
4	Net experience loss: 1 + 2 + 3	-\$11,009,312

Investment Experience

A major component of projected asset growth is the assumed rate of return. The assumed return should represent the expected long-term rate of return, based on the System's investment policy. The rates of return on the market value of assets for the 2017 and 2016 plan years were 16.55% and 7.06%, respectively.

For valuation purposes, the assumed rate of return on the actuarial value of assets was 7.75% for the 2017 and 2016 plan years. The actual rates of return on an actuarial basis for the 2017 and 2016 plan years were 8.93% and 6.98%, respectively. Since the actual return for the twoyear period was greater than the assumed return, the Essex Regional Retirement System experienced a net actuarial gain during the two-year period ending December 31, 2017 with regard to its investments.

INVESTMENT EXPERIENCE

		Year Ended December 31, 2017		Year Ended December 31, 2016		
		Market Value	Actuarial Value	Market Value	Actuarial Value	
1	Net investment income	\$66,324,993	\$36,863,006	\$26,425,516	\$26,941,840	
2	Average value of assets	400,648,179	412,966,723	374,329,211	386,131,431	
3	Rate of return: 1 ÷ 2	16.55%	8.93%	7.06%	6.98%	
4	Assumed rate of return	7.75%	7.75%	7.75%	7.75%	
5	Expected investment income: 2 x 4	31,050,234	32,004,921	29,010,514	29,925,186	
6	Actuarial gain/(loss): 1 – 5	\$35,274,759	\$4,858,085	-\$2,584,998	-\$2,983,346	

Because actuarial planning is long term, it is useful to see hosw the assumed investment rate of return has followed actual experience over time. The chart below shows the rate of return on an actuarial basis compared to the actual market value investment return for the last two years.


INVESTMENT RETURN - ACTUARIAL VALUE VS. MARKET VALUE: 2016 - 2017

Year Ended —	Actuarial Value Invest	Actuarial Value Investment Return		nent Return
December 31	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
2016	\$26,941,840	6.98%	\$26,425,516	7.06%
2017	<u>36,863,006</u>	8.93	66,324,993	16.55
Total	\$63,804,846		\$92,750,509	

Note: Each year's yield is weighted by the average asset value in that year.

The actuarial asset valuation method gradually recognizes fluctuations in the market value rate of return. The goal of this is to stabilize the actuarial rate of return and to produce more level pension plan costs.

MARKET AND ACTUARIAL RATES OF RETURN FOR YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 - 2017



Administrative Expenses

Administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 were \$983,842 and \$989,207, respectively, compared to the assumption of \$1,127,500 for calendar year 2016 and \$1,172,600 for calendar year 2017. This resulted in a gain of \$436,169 for the two-year period, including an adjustment for interest. Based on information on expenses provided by the Retirement System, we have lowered the assumption from \$1,127,500 to \$1,000,000 for calendar year 2018.

Net 3(8)(c) Reimbursements

Net 3(8)(c) reimbursements for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 were \$1,254,783 and \$931,942, respectively, compared to an allowance of \$1,081,739 in the prior valuation. We have revised the allowance to \$1,254,783 for fiscal 2018 and later years.

Other Experience

There are other differences between the expected and the actual experience that appear when the new valuation is compared with the projections from the previous valuation. These include:

- > the extent of turnover among participants,
- > retirement experience (earlier or later than projected),
- > mortality (more or fewer deaths than projected),
- the number of disability retirements (more or fewer than projected), and
- > salary increases (greater or smaller than projected).

The net loss from this other experience for the two-year period ending December 31, 2017 amounted to \$13,320,219, which is 1.6% of the actuarial accrued liability. Approximately half of this loss was due to valuing deferred retirement benefits for inactive vested participants.

Changes in the Actuarial Accrued Liability

The actuarial accrued liability as of January 1, 2018 is \$843,829,627, an increase of \$98,245,969, or 13.2%, from the actuarial accrued liability as of the prior valuation date. The liability is expected to grow each year with normal cost and interest, and to decline due to benefit payments made. Additional fluctuations can occur due to actual experience that differs from expected (as discussed in the previous subsection) and changes in assumptions (as noted below).

Actuarial Assumptions

The following assumption were changed with this valuation:

- The investment return assumption was lowered from 7.75% to 7.50%.
 - > The retirement rates for employees hired after April 1, 2012 were revised to match the rates for employees hired before April 1, 2012. In addition, there were minor changes to the rates prior to age 54 for Group 4 employees.
- > The assumed interest on employee contributions was increased from 2.0% to 3.5%.
- > The administrative expense assumption was lowered from \$1,127,500 to \$1,000,000.
- The percentage of employees assumed to be married was lowered from 100% to 80%.
- > The percentage of accidental disability retirees who are expected to die from the same cause as the disability was lowered from 40% to 20% for Groups 1 and 2 employees and increased from 40% to 60% for Group 4 employees.
- > The allowance for net 3(8)(c) payments was increased from \$1,081,739 to \$1,254,783 per year.

Changing these assumptions increased the unfunded liability by approximately \$23.1 million and increased the normal cost by approximately \$500,000.

Details on actuarial assumptions and methods are in Section 4, Exhibit I.

Plan Provisions

There were no changes in plan provisions since the prior valuation.

A summary of plan provisions is in Section 4, Exhibit II.

Development of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

		Year Ended			
		December 31, 20	017	December 3	31, 2015
1	Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at beginning of year	\$361	,546,257		\$358,844,560
2	Normal cost at beginning of year	18	3,472,893		17,762,397
3	Total contributions	-48	3,360,064		-42,749,697
4	Interest				
	• For whole year on 1 + 2	\$29,451,484	\$	29,187,039	
	• For half year on 3	<u>-1,694,641</u>		-1,498,042	
	Total interest	<u>27</u>	7,756,84 <u>3</u>		27,688,997
5	Expected unfunded actuarial accrued liability	\$359	9,415,929		\$361,546,257
6	Changes due to:				
	Net gain from investments	-\$1,874,738			
	Net loss from other experience	12,884,050			
	Changes in assumptions	23,073,540			
	Total changes	\$34	1,082,852		
7	Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at end of year	\$393	3,498,781		

Actuarially Determined Contribution

The actuarially determined contribution is equal to the employer normal cost payment and a payment on the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

The funding schedule included in this report fully funds the System by June 30, 2035. For fiscal 2019, the actuarially determined contribution has been set equal to the previously budgeted amount of \$33,963,270, as determined by the prior actuary. For fiscal 2020 through 2022, the appropriation increases 7.41%. The appropriation increases 6.36% for fiscal 2023, 4.98% for fiscal 2024 and approximately 3.75% per year thereafter. This schedule assumes all assumptions will be met and there will be no changes in the plan of benefits or actuarial assumptions.

The funding schedule included in the prior valuation report also fully funded the Retirement System by June 30, 2035.

ACTUARIALLY DETERMINED CONTRIBUTION

	2018		201	2016	
	Amount	% of Projected Payroll	Amount	% of Projected Payroll	
1. Total normal cost	\$19,295,475	14.18%	\$16,634,897	13.10%	
2. Administrative expenses and allowance for net 3(8)(c) payments (2018 only)	2,254,783	1.66%	1,127,500	0.89%	
3. Expected employee contributions	<u>-13,237,852</u>	<u>-9.73%</u>	<u>-11,619,360</u>	<u>-9.15%</u>	
4. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2) - (3)	\$8,312,406	6.11%	\$6,143,037	4.84%	
5. Actuarial accrued liability	843,829,627		745,583,658		
6. Actuarial value of assets	<u>450,330,846</u>		386,739,098		
7. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability: (5) - (6)	\$393,498,781		\$358,844,560		
8. Employer normal cost projected to July 1, 2018 and 2016	8,408,790	6.09%	N/A		
9. Projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability	407,988,220		N/A		
10. Payment on projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability	<u>25,554,480</u>	18.52%	N/A		
11. Actuarially determined contribution for fiscal 2019 and 2017: (8) + (10)	\$33,963,270	24.62%	\$29,412,650		
12. Projected payroll	\$137,970,020		N/A		

Notes: Actuarially Determined Contributions are assumed to be made on July 1. Actuarially Determined Contributions are set equal to the budgeted amounts determined with the prior valuation.

Funding Schedule

(1) Fiscal Year Ended June 30	(2) Employer Normal Cost	(3) Net 3(8)(c) Payments	(4) Amortization of 2002 ERI Liability	(5) Amortization of 2003 ERI Liability	(6) Amortization of Remaining Liability	(7) Total Amortization of UAAL (4)+(5)+(6)	(8) Total Plan Cost: (2) + (3) + (7)	(9) Total UAL	(10) Increase Over Prior Year
2019	\$7,154,007	\$1,254,783	\$338,792	\$197,079	\$25,018,609	\$25,554,480	\$33,963,270	\$405,707,918	
2020	7,380,888	1,254,783	8,943	197,437	27,637,897	27,844,277	36,479,948	406,771,705	7.41%
2021	7,614,882	1,254,783	9,345	8,679	30,295,423	30,313,447	39,183,112	406,159,456	7.41%
2022	7,856,214	1,254,783	9,765	9,069	32,956,750	32,975,584	42,086,581	397,847,954	7.41%
2023	8,105,108	1,254,783	10,205	9,477	35,383,715	35,403,397	44,763,288	385,337,020	6.36%
2024	8,361,801	1,254,783	10,664	9,904	37,353,599	37,374,167	46,990,751	376,178,644	4.98%
2025	8,626,535	1,254,783	11,144	10,349	38,847,743	38,869,236	48,750,554	364,214,813	3.74%
2026	8,899,560	1,254,783	11,646	10,815	40,401,653	40,424,114	50,578,457	349,746,495	3.75%
2027	9,181,131	1,254,783	12,170	11,302	42,017,719	42,041,191	52,477,105	332,521,560	3.75%
2028	9,471,516	1,254,783	12,717	11,810	43,698,428	43,722,955	54,449,254	312,266,397	3.76%
2029	9,770,987	1,254,783	0	0	45,446,365	45,446,365	56,472,135	288,684,200	3.72%
2030	10,079,827	1,254,783	0	0	47,264,220	47,264,220	58,598,830	261,480,672	3.77%
2031	10,398,325	1,254,783	0	0	49,154,788	49,154,788	60,807,896	230,282,687	3.77%
2032	10,726,781	1,254,783	0	0	51,120,980	51,120,980	63,102,544	194,712,491	3.77%
2033	11,065,504	1,254,783	0	0	53,165,819	53,165,819	65,486,106	154,360,874	3.78%
2034	11,414,812	1,254,783	0	0	55,292,452	55,292,452	67,962,047	108,784,684	3.78%
2035	11,775,034	1,254,783	0	0	57,504,150	57,504,150	70,533,967	57,504,150	3.78%
2036	12,146,506	1,254,783	0	0	0	0	13,401,289	0	-81.00%

Notes: Recommended contributions are assumed to paid on July 1.

Assumes contribution of budgeted amount for fiscal year 2019.

Item (2) reflects 2.75% growth in payroll, plus an additional 0.15% adjustment to total normal cost to reflect the effects of mortality improvement due to generational mortality assumption.

Projected normal cost does not reflect the impact of pension reform for future hires.

Projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability reflects deferred investment gains.

Risk

Since the actuarial valuation results are dependent on a given set of assumptions and data as of a specific date, there is a risk that emerging results may differ significantly as actual experience differs from the assumptions.

This report does not contain a detailed analysis of the potential range of future measurements, but does include a brief discussion of some risks that may affect the Plan. We recommend a more detailed assessment to provide the Retirement Board with a better understanding of the risks inherent in the Plan. This assessment may include scenario testing, sensitivity testing, stress testing and stochastic modeling.

- > Investment Risk (the risk that returns will be different than expected)
- > Longevity Risk (the risk that mortality experience will be different than expected)

The actuarial valuation includes an expectation of future improvement in life expectancy. Emerging plan experience that does not match these expectations will result in either an increase or decrease in the actuarially determined contribution.

- > Contribution Risk (the risk that actual contributions will be different from actuarially determined contribution)
 - Massachusetts General Law Chapter 32 requires payment of the actuarially determined contribution. If future experience matches current assumptions, we project the unfunded actuarial accrued liability will be paid off in 17 years.
- Demographic Risk (the risk that participant experience will be different than assumed)

Examples of this risk include:

- Actual retirements occurring earlier or later than assumed.
- More or less active participant turnover than assumed.
- Disability experience greater or less than expected
- Salary increases greater or less than projected.

> Actual Experience and Implications for the Future

Past experience can help demonstrate the sensitivity of key results to the Plan's actual experience.

- Over the last ten years, the funded percentage on the actuarial value of assets has fluctuated from a high of 67.7% as of January 1, 2008 to a low of 48.4% as of January 1, 2014 and has since increased to 53.4% as of January 1, 2018.
- > Maturity Measures

As pension plans mature, the cash need to fulfill benefit obligations will increase over time. Therefore, cash flow projections and analysis should be performed to assure that the Plan's asset allocation is aligned to meet emerging pension liabilities.



Section 3: Supplemental Information

EXHIBIT A – TABLE OF PLAN COVERAGE

	Year Ended [December 31	Change From
Category	2017	2015	Prior Year
Active participants in valuation:			
Number	2,649	2,738	-3.3%
Average age	49.2	49.0	0.2
Average years of service	11.4	11.0	0.4
Total payroll	\$130,781,725	\$126,928,690	3.0%
Average payroll	49,370	46,358	6.5%
Member contributions	119,346,933	N/A	N/A
Total active vested participants	1,490	N/A	N/A
Inactive participants in valuation:			
• Inactive participants with a vested right to a deferred or immediate benefit ¹	107	N/A	N/A
 Inactive participants due a refund of employee contributions 	1,025	1,030	-0.5%
Retired participants:			
Number in pay status	1,496	1,640	N/A
Average age	72.9	N/A	N/A
Average monthly benefit	\$2,032	\$1,797	N/A
Disabled participants:			
Number in pay status	130	128	1.6%
Average age	66.3	N/A	N/A
Average monthly benefit	\$2,740	\$2,507	9.3%
Beneficiaries: ²			
Number in pay status	215	N/A	N/A
Average age	75.5	N/A	N/A
Average monthly benefit	\$1,347	N/A	N/A

¹ Inactive vested participants as of December 31, 2015 are included with inactive participants due a refund of employee contributions.

² Beneficiaries as of December 31, 2015 are included with retired participants.

EXHIBIT B – PARTICIPANTS IN ACTIVE SERVICE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2017 BY AGE, YEARS OF SERVICE, AND AVERAGE PAYROLL

					Years of	Service				
Age	Total	0-4	5-9	10-14	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 & over
Under 25	51	51								
	\$31,707	\$31,707								
25 - 29	216	192	22	1	1					
	\$39,560	\$37,885	\$52,773	\$42,661	\$67,331					
30 - 34	179	93	61	24	1					
	\$53,110	\$46,535	\$59,043	\$64,207	\$36,376					
35 - 39	207	83	48	56	19	1				
	\$55,573	\$42,886	\$59,165	\$68,268	\$62,707	\$89,602				
40 - 44	210	77	34	46	41	12				
	\$56,664	\$38,800	\$52,856	\$61,476	\$80,812	\$81,134				
45 - 49	336	92	78	44	56	45	18	3		
	\$51,875	\$33,695	\$38,503	\$56,280	\$61,507	\$82,984	\$78,108	\$88,596		
50 - 54	459	106	90	92	65	42	37	27		
	\$51,576	\$37,669	\$41,724	\$44,453	\$53,529	\$71,955	\$80,892	\$86,711		
55 - 59	489	80	78	119	95	58	27	27	5	
	\$47,102	\$38,566	\$37,156	\$37,905	\$48,132	\$59,933	\$73,261	\$80,600	\$67,160	
60 - 64	322	40	54	61	73	47	18	21	5	3
	\$47,605	\$36,851	\$46,509	\$43,395	\$42,393	\$54,698	\$58,658	\$64,071	\$73,087	\$87,990
65 - 69	134	15	19	14	41	24	10	7	3	1
	\$46,435	\$32,501	\$46,420	\$43,458	\$43,343	\$55,343	\$52,881	\$56,509	\$50,888	\$62,028
70 & over	46	3	1	10	11	10	4	6	1	
	\$43,973	\$25,070	\$21,710	\$52,027	\$36,290	\$39,938	\$43,536	\$63,838	\$49,847	
Total	2,649	832	485	467	403	239	114	91	14	4
	\$49,370	\$38,438	\$46,333	\$49,437	\$53,041	\$65,247	\$71,367	\$75,904	\$64,553	\$81,500

EXHIBIT C – SUMMARY STATEMENT OF INCOME AND EXPENSES ON A MARKET VALUE BASIS

	Year E Decembe		Year Er December	
Net assets at market value at the beginning of the year		\$400,147,061		\$374,936,877
Contribution income:				
Employer contributions	\$34,974,592		\$29,842,091	
Employee contributions	13,336,792		12,864,436	
Other contributions	48,680		43,170	
 Less administrative expenses 	<u>-983,842</u>		<u>-989,207</u>	
Net contribution income		47,376,222		41,760,490
Net investment income		66,324,993		<u>26,425,515</u>
Total income available for benefits		\$113,701,215		\$68,186,005
Less benefit payments:				
 Pensions 	-\$43,229,770		-\$40,828,055	
 Net 3(8)(c) reimbursements 	-1,254,783		-931,942	
 Refunds, annuities, Option B refunds & net transfers 	-1,908,934		-1,226,748	
 Workers Compensation Settlements 	<u>19,500</u>		<u>10,923</u>	
Net benefit payments		-\$46,373,987		-\$42,975,821
Change in reserve for future benefits		\$67,327,228		\$25,210,184
Net assets at market value at the end of the year		\$467,474,289		\$400,147,061

EXHIBIT D – DEVELOPMENT OF THE FUND THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2017

Year Ended December 31	Employer Contributions	Employee Contributions	Other Contributions	Net Investment Return*	Administrative Expenses	Benefit Payments	Market Value of Assets at Year-End	Actuarial Value of Assets at Year-End	Actuarial Value as a Percent of Market Value
2015	\$27,893,676	\$12,491,708	\$73,124	\$4,123,860	\$949,043	\$40,695,845	\$374,936,877	\$386,739,098	102.1%
2016	29,842,091	12,864,436	43,170	26,425,515	989,207	42,975,821	400,147,061	412,465,605	103.1%
2017	34,974,592	13,336,792	48,680	66,324,993	983,842	46,373,987	467,474,289	450,330,846	96.3%

^{*} On a market basis, net of investment fees

EXHIBIT E – DEFINITIONS OF PENSION TERMS

The following list defines certain technical terms for the convenience of the reader:

The equivalent of the accumulated normal costs allocated to the years before the valuation date.
The single-sum value of lifetime benefits to existing pensioners and beneficiaries. This sum takes account of life expectancies appropriate to the ages of the annuitants and the interest that the sum is expected to earn before it is entirely paid out in benefits.
A procedure allocating the Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits to various time periods; a method used to determine the Normal Cost and the Actuarial Accrued Liability that are used to determine the actuarially determined contribution.
A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of Actuarial Assumptions, during the period between two Actuarial Valuation dates. Through the actuarial assumptions, rates of decrements, rates of salary increases, and rates of fund earnings have been forecasted. To the extent that actual experience differs from that assumed, Actuarial Accrued Liabilities emerge which may be the same as forecasted, or may be larger or smaller than projected. Actuarial gains are due to favorable experience, e.g., assets earn more than projected, salary increases are less than assumed, members retire later than assumed, etc. Favorable experience means actual results produce actuarial liabilities not as large as projected by the actuarial assumptions. On the other hand, actuarial losses are the result of unfavorable experience, i.e., actual results yield in actuarial liabilities that are larger than projected. Actuarial gains will shorten the time required for funding of the actuarial balance sheet deficiency while actuarial losses will lengthen the funding period.
Of equal actuarial present value, determined as of a given date and based on a given set of Actuarial Assumptions.
The value of an amount or series of amounts payable or receivable at various times, determined as of a given date by the application of a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions. Each such amount or series of amounts is: Adjusted for the probable financial effect of certain intervening events (such as changes in compensation levels, marital status, etc.) Multiplied by the probability of the occurrence of an event (such as survival, death, disability, withdrawal, etc.) on which the payment is conditioned, and Discounted according to an assumed rate (or rates) of return to reflect the time value of money.

Actuarial Present Value of Future Plan Benefits:	The Actuarial Present Value of benefit amounts expected to be paid at various future times under a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions, taking into account such items as the effect of advancement in age, anticipated future compensation, and future service credits. The Actuarial Present Value of Future Plan Benefits includes the liabilities for active members, retired members, beneficiaries receiving benefits, and inactive members entitled to either a refund or a future retirement benefit. Expressed another way, it is the value that would have to be invested on the valuation date so that the amount invested plus investment earnings would provide sufficient assets to pay all projected benefits and expenses when due.
Actuarial Valuation:	The determination, as of a valuation date, of the Normal Cost, Actuarial Accrued Liability, Actuarial Value of Assets, and related Actuarial Present Values for a plan. An Actuarial Valuation for a governmental retirement system typically also includes calculations of items needed for compliance with GASB, such as the Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC) and the Net Pension Liability (NPL).
Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA):	The value of the Fund's assets as of a given date, used by the actuary for valuation purposes. This may be the market or fair value of plan assets, but commonly plans use a smoothed value in order to reduce the year-to-year volatility of calculated results, such as the funded ratio and the ADC.
Actuarially Determined:	Values that have been determined utilizing the principles of actuarial science. An actuarially determined value is derived by application of the appropriate actuarial assumptions to specified values determined by provisions of the law.
Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC):	The employer's periodic required contributions, expressed as a dollar amount or a percentage of covered plan compensation, determined under the Plan's funding policy. The ADC consists of the Employer Normal Cost and the Amortization Payment.
Amortization Method:	A method for determining the Amortization Payment. The most common methods used are level dollar and level percentage of payroll. Under the Level Dollar method, the Amortization Payment is one of a stream of payments, all equal, whose Actuarial Present Value is equal to the UAAL. Under the Level Percentage of Pay method, the Amortization Payment is one of a stream of increasing payments, whose Actuarial Present Value is equal to the UAAL. Under the Level Percentage of Pay method, the stream of payments increases at the assumed rate at which total covered payroll of all active members will increase.
Amortization Payment:	The portion of the pension plan contribution, or ADC, that is designed to pay interest on and to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Assumptions or Actuarial Assumptions:	The estimates upon which the cost of the Fund is calculated, including:
	Investment return - the rate of investment yield that the Fund will earn over the long-term future;
	Mortality rates - the death rates of employees and pensioners; life expectancy is based on these rates;
	Retirement rates - the rate or probability of retirement at a given age or service;
	Disability rates – the probability of disability retirement at a given age;
	Withdrawal rates - the rates at which employees of various ages are expected to leave employment for reasons other than death, disability, or retirement;
	Salary increase rates - the rates of salary increase due to inflation and productivity growth.
Closed Amortization Period:	A specific number of years that is counted down by one each year, and therefore declines to zero with the passage of time. For example, if the amortization period is initially set at 30 years, it is 29 years at the end of one year, 28 years at the end of two years, etc. See Open Amortization Period.
Decrements:	Those causes/events due to which a member's status (active-inactive-retiree-beneficiary) changes, that is: death, retirement, disability, or withdrawal.
Defined Benefit Plan:	A retirement plan in which benefits are defined by a formula applied to the member's compensation and/or years of service.
Defined Contribution Plan:	A retirement plan, such as a 401(k) plan, a 403(b) plan, or a 457 plan, in which the contributions to the plan are assigned to an account for each member, the plan's earnings are allocated to each account, and each member's benefits are a direct function of the account balance.
Employer Normal Cost:	The portion of the Normal Cost to be paid by the employer. This is equal to the Normal Cost less expected member contributions.
Experience Study:	A periodic review and analysis of the actual experience of the Fund that may lead to a revision of one or more actuarial assumptions. Actual rates of decrement and salary increases are compared to the actuarially assumed values and modified as deemed appropriate by the Actuary.
Funded Ratio:	The ratio of the actuarial value of assets (AVA) to the actuarial accrued liability (AAL). Plans sometimes calculate a market funded ratio, using the market value of assets (MVA), rather than the AVA.
GASB 67 and GASB 68:	Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements No. 67 and No. 68. These are the governmental accounting standards that set the accounting rules for public retirement systems and the employers that sponsor or contribute to them. Statement No. 68 sets the accounting rules for the employers that sponsor or contribute to public retirement systems, while Statement No. 67 sets the rules for the systems themselves.

The rate of earnings of the Fund from its investments, including interest, dividends and capital gain and loss adjustments, computed as a percentage of the average value of the fund. For actuarial purposes, the investment return often reflects a smoothing of the capital gains and losses to avoid significant swings in the value of assets from one year to the next.
The Net Pension Liability is equal to the Total Pension Liability minus the Plan Fiduciary Net Position.
That portion of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses allocated to a valuation year by the Actuarial Cost Method. Any payment in respect of an Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability is not part of Normal Cost (see Amortization Payment). For pension plan benefits that are provided in part by employee contributions, Normal Cost refers to the total of employee contributions and employer Normal Cost unless otherwise specifically stated.
An open amortization period is one which is used to determine the Amortization Payment but which does not change over time. If the initial period is set as 30 years, the same 30-year period is used in determining the Amortization Period each year. In theory, if an Open Amortization Period with level percentage of payroll is used to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, the UAAL will never decrease, but will become smaller each year, in relation to covered payroll, if the actuarial assumptions are realized.
Market value of assets.
The actuarial accrued liability under the entry age normal cost method and based on the blended discount rate as described in GASB 67 and 68.
The excess of the Actuarial Accrued Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets. This value may be negative, in which case it may be expressed as a negative Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, also called the Funding Surplus.
The date as of which the value of assets is determined and as of which the Actuarial Present Value of Future Plan Benefits is determined. The expected benefits to be paid in the future are discounted to this date.

Section 4: Actuarial Valuation Basis

EXHIBIT I – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND ACTUARIAL COST METHOD

Net Investment Return:	market expectations, and p	assumption is a long-torofessional judgment. tations and anticipated	enses erm estimate derived from historical data, current and recent As part of the analysis, a building block approach was used d risk premiums for each of the portfolio's asset classes, as				
Salary Increases:	Years of Service	Rate (%)					
	0	7.50					
	1	6.50					
	2	6.00	-				
	3	5.50					
	4	5.00					
	5+	3.75					
	Includes an allowance for i	Includes an allowance for inflation of 2.75%.					
	The salary increase assum expectations, and profession		stimate derived from historical data, current and recent market				
Interest on Employee Contributions:	3.5% (previously, 2.0%)						
Administrative Expenses:	\$1,000,000 for calendar year 2018, increasing 2.75% per year (previously, \$1,127,500, increasing year)						
	The administrative expense System.	e assumption is based	on information on expenses provided by the Retirement				

Mortality Rates:

Pre-Retirement: RP-2000 Employee Mortality Table projected generationally with Scale BB

Healthy Retiree: RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table projected generationally with Scale BB

Disabled Retiree: RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table, set forward two years projected generationally with Scale BB

The underlying tables reasonably reflect the mortality experience of the Plan as of the measurement date. These mortality tables were then adjusted to future years using the generational projection to reflect future mortality improvement between the measurement date and those years. The mortality rates were based on historical and current demographic data, adjusted to reflect estimated future experience and professional judgement.

Termination Rates before Retirement:

	Groups 1 & 2 – Rate (%)						
	Mor	Mortality					
Age	Male	Female	Disability				
20	0.03	0.02	0.01				
25	0.04	0.02	0.02				
30	0.04	0.03	0.03				
35	0.08	0.05	0.06				
40	0.11	0.07	0.10				
45	0.15	0.11	0.15				
50	0.21	0.17	0.19				
55	0.30	0.25	0.24				
60	0.49	0.39	0.28				

Notes: Mortality rates shown for base table.

55% of the disability rates shown represent accidental disability.

20% of the accidental disabilities will die from the same cause as the disability (previously, 40%).

55% of the death rates shown represent accidental death.

	Group 4 – Rate (%)					
	Mor	tality				
Age	Male	Female	Disability			
20	0.03	0.02	0.10			
25	0.04	0.02	0.20			
30	0.04	0.03	0.30			
35	0.08	0.05	0.30			
40	0.11	0.07	0.30			
45	0.15	0.11	1.00			
50	0.21	0.17	1.25			
55	0.30	0.25	1.20			
60	0.49	0.39	0.85			

Notes: Mortality rates shown for base table.

90% of the disability rates shown represent accidental disability.

60% of the accidental disabilities will die from the same cause as the disability (previously, 40%).

90% of the death rates shown represent accidental death.

The disability rates were based on historical and current demographic data, adjusted to reflect economic conditions of the area and estimated future experience and professional judgement.

Withdrawal Rates:

Years of	Rate (%)		
Service	Groups 1 & 2	Group 4	
0	15.0	1.5	
1	12.0	1.5	
2	10.0	1.5	
3	9.0	1.5	
4	8.0	1.5	
5	7.6	1.5	
6	7.5	1.5	
7	6.7	1.5	
8	6.3	1.5	
9	5.9	1.5	
10	5.4	1.5	
11	5.0	0.0	
12	4.6	0.0	
13	4.1	0.0	
14	3.7	0.0	
15	3.3	0.0	
16 - 20	2.0	0.0	
21 - 29	1.0	0.0	
30+	0.0	0.0	

The withdrawal rates were based on historical and current demographic data, adjusted to reflect estimated future experience and professional judgment.

Retirement Rates:

	Rate per year (%) Groups 1 & 2			
Age	Male	Female	Group 4	
45 - 49			1.0	
50 - 51	1.0	1.5	2.0	
52	1.0	2.0	2.0	
53	1.0	2.5	5.0	
54	2.0	2.5	7.5	
55	2.0	5.5	15.0	
56	2.5	6.5	10.0	
57	2.5	6.5	10.0	
58	5.0	6.5	10.0	
59	6.5	6.5	15.0	
60	12.0	5.0	20.0	
61	20.0	13.0	20.0	
62	30.0	15.0	25.0	
63	25.0	12.5	25.0	
64	22.0	18.0	30.0	
65	40.0	15.0	100.0	
66 - 67	25.0	20.0		
68 - 69	30.0	25.0		
70	100.0	100.0		

(Previously, for Group 1 and 2 employees hired after April 1, 2012, rates started at age 60 and equaled 25.0% for males and 30.0% for females at age 60. For Group 4 employees hired before April 1, 2012, rates started at age 50 and for age 53 equaled 2.0% and for Group 4 employees hired after April 1, 2012, rates started at age 55 and equaled 10.0% at age 55, 7.0% at age 56 and 20.0% at age 57.)

The retirement rates were based on historical and current demographic data, adjusted to reflect estimated future experience and professional judgment.

Retirement Age for Inactive Vested Participants:	Age 60 for Groups 1 and 2 and age 55 for Group 4. The retirement age for inactive vested participants was based on historical and current demographic data, adjusted to reflect economic conditions of the area and estimated future experience and professional judgment.
Unknown Data for Participants:	Same as those exhibited by participants with similar known characteristics.
Family Composition:	80% of participants are assumed to be married (previously, 100%). Females are assumed to be three years younger than their spouses.
Benefit Election:	All participants are assumed to elect Option A. The benefit election reflects the fact that all benefit options are actuarially equivalent.
2017 Salary:	2017 salary equal to salaries provided in the data, annualized for new hires.
Total Service:	Total creditable service reported in the data.
Net 3(8)(c) Payments:	\$1,254,783 per year (previously, \$1,081,739 per year)
Actuarial Value of Assets:	Market value of assets as reported in the System's Annual Statement less unrecognized return in each of the last five years. Unrecognized return is equal to the difference between the actual market return and the expected return on the market value and is recognized over a five-year period, further adjusted, if necessary, to be within 10% of the market value.
Actuarial Cost Method:	Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method. Entry Age is the attained age of the participant minus years of creditable service. Normal Cost and Actuarial Accrued Liability are calculated on an individual basis and are allocated by salary with Normal Cost determined using the plan of benefits applicable to each participant.
Justification for Change in Actuarial Assumptions:	 Based on past experience and future expectations, the following actuarial assumption were changed as of January 1, 2018: The investment return assumption was lowered from 7.75% to 7.50%. The retirement rates for employees hired after April 1, 2012 were revised to match the rates for employees hired before April 1, 2012. In addition, there were minor changes to the rates prior to age 54 for Group 4 employees. The assumed interest on employee contributions was increased from 2.0% to 3.5%. The administrative expense assumption was lowered from \$1,127,500 to \$1,000,000. The percentage of employees assumed to be married was lowered from 100% to 80%. The percentage of accidental disability retirees who are expected to die from the same cause as the disability was lowered from 40% to 20% for Groups 1 and 2 employees and increased from 40% to 60% for Group 4 employees. The allowance for net 3(8)(c) payments was increased from \$1,081,739 to \$1,254,783 per year.

EXHIBIT II – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

This exhibit summarizes the major provisions of the Plan included in the valuation. It is not intended to be, nor should it be interpreted as, a complete statement of all plan provisions.

Plan Year:	January 1 through Dece	January 1 through December 31			
Plan Status:	Ongoing	Ongoing			
Retirement Benefits:	classification. Group 1 c	omprises most positions	in state and local governice and firefighters. Grou	o one of four groups depend iment. It is the general categ up 2 is for other specified haz Group 3.)	gory of
	member's final three-yea service at the time of ret	For employees hired prior to April 2, 2012, the annual amount of the retirement allowance is based on the member's final three-year average salary multiplied by the number of years and full months of creditable service at the time of retirement and multiplied by a percentage according to the following table based on the age of the member at retirement:			
		Age Last Birthday at Date of Retirement			
	Percent	Group 1	Group 2	Group 4	
	2.5	65 or over	60 or over	55 or over	
	2.4	64	59	54	
	2.3	63	58	53	
	2.2	62	57	52	
	2.1	61	56	51	
	2.0	60	55	50	
	1.9	59		49	
	1.8	58		48	
	1.7	57		47	
	1.6	56		46	
	1.5	55		45	
	average annual rate of r		d the average annual rate	e highest consecutive three- e of regular compensation re	

For employees hired on April 2, 2012 or later, the annual amount of the retirement allowance is based on the member's final five-year average salary multiplied by the number of years and full months of creditable service at the time of retirement and multiplied by a percentage according to the following tables based on the age and years of creditable service of the member at retirement:

For members with less than 30 years of creditable service: Age Last Birthday at Date of Retirement			
Percent	Group 1	Group 2	Group 4
2.50	67 or over	62 or over	57 or over
2.35	66	61	56
2.20	65	60	55
2.05	64	59	54
1.90	63	58	53
1.75	62	57	52
1.60	61	56	51
1.45	60	55	50

For members with 30 years of creditable service or greater: Age Last Birthday at Date of Retirement			
Percent	Group 1	Group 2	Group 4
2.500	67 or over	62 or over	57 or over
2.375	66	61	56
2.250	65	60	55
2.125	64	59	54
2.000	63	58	53
1.875	62	57	52
1.750	61	56	51
1.625	60	55	50

A member's final five-year average salary is defined as the greater of the highest consecutive five-year average annual rate of regular compensation and the average annual rate of regular compensation received during the last five years of creditable service prior to retirement.

	For employees who became members after Janua federal limit found in 26 U.S.C. 401(a)(17). In add April 2, 2012 will be limited to prohibit "spiking" of For all employees, the maximum annual amount of average salary. Any member who is a veteran also per year of creditable service, not exceeding \$300 maximum.	ition, regular compensation for me a member's salary to increase the of the retirement allowance is 80 po o receives an additional yearly reti	embers who retire after retirement benefit. Dercent of the member's final rement allowance of \$15	
Employee Contributions:	Date of Hire	Contribution Rate		
	Prior to January 1, 1975	5%		
	January 1, 1975 – December 31, 1983	7%		
	January 1, 1984 – June 30, 1996	8%		
	July 1, 1996 onward	9%		
	In addition, employees hired after December 31, 1978 contribute an additional 2 percent of salary in excess of \$30,000. Employees hired after 1983 who voluntarily withdraw their contributions with less than 10 ten years of credited service receive 3% interest on their contributions. Employees in Group 1 hired on or after April 2, 2012 with 30 years of creditable service or greater will pay a base contribution rate of 6%.			
Retirement Benefits (Superannuation):	Members of Group 1, 2 or 4 hired prior to April 2, 2012 may retire upon the attainment of age 55. For retirement at ages below 55, twenty years of creditable service is required. Members hired prior to April 2, 2012 who terminate before age 55 with ten or more years of creditable service are eligible for a retirement allowance upon the attainment of age 55 (provided they have not withdrawn their			
	accumulated deductions from the Annuity Savings Fund of the System). Members of Group 1 hired April 2, 2012 or later may retire upon the attainment of age 60. Members of Group 2 or 4 hired April 2, 2012 or later may retire upon the attainment of age 55. Members of Group 4 may retire upon attainment of age 50 with ten years of creditable service.			
	Members hired April 2, 2012 or later who terminate before age 55 (60 for members of Group 1) with ten or more years of creditable service are eligible for a retirement allowance upon the attainment of age 55 (60 for members of Group 1) provided they have not withdrawn their accumulated deductions from the Annuity Savings Fund of the System.			
Ordinary Disability Benefit:	A member who is unable to perform his or her job due to a non-occupational disability will receive a retirement allowance if he or she has ten or more years of creditable service and has not reached age 55. The annual amount of such allowance shall be determined as if the member retired for superannuation at age 55 (age 60 for Group 1 members hired on or after April 2, 2012), based on the amount of creditable service at the date of disability. For veterans, there is a minimum benefit of 50 percent of the member's most recent year's pay plus an annuity based on his or her own contributions.			

Accidental Disability Benefit:	For a job-connected disability, the benefit is 72 percent of the member's most recent annual pay plus an annuity based on his or her own contributions, plus additional amounts for surviving children. Benefits are capped at 75 percent of annual rate of regular compensation for employees who become members after January 1, 1988.
Death Benefits:	In general, the beneficiary of an employee who dies in active service will receive a refund of the employee's own contributions. Alternatively, if the employee were eligible to retire on the date of death, a spouse's benefit will be paid equal to the amount the employee would have received under Option C. The surviving spouse of a member who dies with two or more years of credited service has the option of a refund of the employee's contributions or a monthly benefit regardless of eligibility to retire, if they were married for at least one year. There is also a minimum widow's pension of \$500 per month, and there are additional amounts for surviving children.
	If an employee's death is job-connected, the spouse will receive 72 percent of the member's most recent annual pay, in addition to a refund of the member's accumulated deductions, plus additional amounts for surviving children. However, in accordance with Section 100 of Chapter 32, the surviving spouse of a police officer, firefighter or corrections officer is killed in the line of duty will be eligible to receive an annual benefit equal to the maximum salary held by the member at the time of death.
	Upon the death of a job-connected disability retiree who retired prior to November 7, 1996 and could not elect an Option C benefit, a surviving spouse will receive an allowance of \$12,000 per year if the member dies for a reason unrelated to cause of disability.
"Heart And Lung Law" And Cancer Presumption:	Any case of hypertension or heart disease resulting in total or partial disability or death to a uniformed fireman, permanent member of a police department, or certain employees of a county correctional facility is presumed to have been suffered in the line of duty, unless the contrary is shown by competent evidence. Any case of disease of the lungs or respiratory tract resulting in total disability or death to a uniformed fireman is presumed to have been suffered in the line of duty, unless the contrary is shown by competent evidence. There is an additional presumption for uniformed firemen that certain types of cancer are job-related if onset occurs while actively employed or within five years of retirement.
Options:	Members may elect to receive a full retirement allowance payable for life under Option A. Under Option B a member may elect to receive a lower monthly allowance in exchange for a guarantee that at the time of death any contributions not expended for annuity payments will be refunded to the beneficiary. Option C allows the member to take a lesser retirement allowance in exchange for providing a survivor with two-thirds of the lesser amount. Option C pensioners will have benefits converted from a reduced to a full retirement if the beneficiary predeceases the retiree.
Post-Retirement Benefits:	The Board has adopted the provisions of Section 51 of Chapter 127 of the Acts of 1999, which provide that the Retirement Board may approve an annual COLA in excess of the Consumer Price Index but not to exceed a 3% COLA on the first \$14,000 of a retirement allowance. Cost-of-living increases granted prior to July 1, 1998 are reimbursed by the Commonwealth and not reflected in this report.
Changes in Plan Provisions:	There have been no changes in plan provisions since the last valuation.